

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/799,872	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LEE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Jason Crawford	<b>Art Unit</b> 2819	

**All Participants:**

(1) Jason Crawford.

(2) James Rose.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 13 November 2006

**Time:** 1:30pm ET

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Claim 27 rejection from Non-Final Rejection mailed on 6/19/2006*

Claims discussed:

1, 18, 27

Prior art documents discussed:

*Cliff et al. US 5,689,195*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 1 was discussed because of an error in the language of the claim which is overcome by a simple rearrangement of the new limitation of the claim. Claims 18 and 27 was discussed because the original claims would not be considered allowable like the independent claim 1, an agreement was reached such that claims 18 and 27 would receive subject matter similar to claim 1 in regards to the signals propagating through a multiplexer in addition to the other claim limitations which would make them allowable over the prior art.